



Der Wissenschaftsfonds.

Towards the Formal Specification and Verification of Maple Programs

Muhammad Taimoor Khan and Wolfgang Schreiner



Doktoratskolleg and Research Institute for Symbolic Computation Austria July 13, 2012





Introduction

- Formal specification respectively verification of programs written in (the most widely used) untyped computer algebra languages
 - Mathematica and Maple
- Develop a tool to find errors by static analysis
 - for example type inconsistencies
 - and violations of methods preconditions
- Also
 - to bridge the gap between the example computer algebra algorithm and its implementation
 - to formalize properties of computer algebra
- Demonstration example
 - Maple package DifferenceDifferential developed by Christian Dönch
- MiniMaple
 - A simple but substantial subset (with slight modifications) of Maple
 - Covers all syntactic domains of Maple but fewer expressions

Challenges of Maple type system

- Maple has not a static type system
 - It was developed as scripting language initially
 - Type annotations
 - Gauss: parameterized types (now Maple Domains)
- Type annotations are optional
 - Rises ambiguities in the type information
 - Global variables are always untyped
- Supports some non-standard types of objects
 - e.g. symbols, unions, unevaluated expressions etc.
- No clear difference between declaration and assignment
- Runtime type tests, i.e. type(E,T)
 - Directs the control of flow in the program
- Maple values are organized in a kind of polymorphic type system

Types of objects supported in *MiniMaple*

```
T ::= integer, float, rational
      boolean
      string
      { T }
            # set
      list(\top) # list
      [Tseq] # tuple
      procedure[ T ]( Tseq )
      void
      I(Tseq) # named tuple
I # user-defined type
      uneval # unevaluated
      Or(Tseq ) # union
      symbol
      anything
```



Special features of the MiniMaple type system

- Uses only Maple type annotations
 - Maple uses them for dynamic type checking
 - MiniMaple uses them for static type checking
- Context (global vs local)
 - global
 - may introduce new identifiers by assignments
 - types of identifiers may change arbitrarily by assignments
 - Iocal
 - identifiers only introduced by declarations
 - types of identifiers can only be specialized
- Type tests in Maple, i.e. type(E,T)
 - Branches may have different type information for the same variable
 - track type information to allow satisfiable tests only
 - Number of loop iterations might influence the type information
 - least fixed point as an upper bound on the types of the variable
 - as a special case the declared type is the least fixed point

The derived results can also be applied to Mathematica, as Mathematica shares many concepts with Maple, e.g. same basic kinds of runtime objects



A MiniMaple program type checked

```
1. status:=0;
2. prod := proc(l::list(Or(integer,float)))::[integer,float];
3.
             global status;
4.
             local i::integer, x::Or(integer,float), si::integer:=1, sf::float:=1.0;
5.
             \# \pi = \{\dots, status:anything, i:integer, x:Or(integer, float), si:integer, sf:float\}
6.
             for i from 1 by 1 to nops(I) do
7.
                 x:=l[i]; status:=i;
8.
                 \# \pi = \{\dots, i: integer, x:Or(integer, float),..., status: integer}
9.
                 if type(x,integer) then
10.
                 \# \pi = \{\dots, i:integer, x:integer, si:integer, ..., status:integer}
11.
                      if (x = 0) then return [si,sf]; end if; si:=si*x;
12.
                 elif type(x,float) then
13.
                 \# \pi = \{\dots, i:integer, x:float, ..., sf:float, status:integer}
14.
                     if (x < 0.5) then return [si,sf]; end if; sf:=sf*x;
15.
                 end if
16.
                 \# \pi = \{\dots, i: integer, x:Or(integer, float), si:integer, sf:float, status: integer} \}
17.
            end do:
             \# \pi = \{\dots, status:anything, i:integer, x:Or(integer, float), si:integer, sf:float\}
18.
             status:=-1; return [si,sf];
19.
20.
             end proc;
21
```

Typing rule - And boolean expression

Typing rule

```
 \begin{aligned} \pi &\vdash \mathsf{E}_1:(\pi') \mathsf{boolexp} \\ canSpecialize(\pi,\pi') \\ \pi &\vdash \mathsf{E}_2:(\pi'') \mathsf{boolexp} \\ andCombinable(\pi',\pi'') \end{aligned}
```

 $\pi \vdash \mathsf{E}_1 \text{ and } \mathsf{E}_2:(andCombine(\pi',\pi''))\mathsf{boolexp}$

Definitions

▶ canSpecialize(
$$\pi_1, \pi_2$$
) ⇔
∀($I: \tau_2$) ∈ $\pi_2: \exists (I: \tau_1) \in \pi_1 \land superType(\tau_1, \tau_2)$
▶ andCombinable(π_1, π_2) ⇔
∀($I: \tau_2$) ∈ $\pi_2: \exists (I: \tau_1) \in \pi_1 \land$ andCombinable(τ_1, τ_2)
▶ andCombinable(τ_1, τ_2) = false, if $[[\tau_1]] \cap [[\tau_2]] = \emptyset$
// actually simpler
true, otherwise



Implementation of a Type Checker

Application of the type checker to DifferenceDifferential

- A Maple package to compute bivariate difference-differential polynomials using relative Gröbner basis
- Manual translation to MiniMaple program
- No crucial errors found but some bad code parts
 - variables that are declared but not used
 - variables that have duplicate declarations

http://www.risc.jku.at/people/mtkhan/dk10/



A Specification Language for *MiniMaple*

Logical formula language

- Syntax influenced by Java Modeling Language (JML)
- Uses Maple notations, but also has its own notations

Supports

- Basic formulas and expressions
- Logical quantifiers over typed variables
 - exists
 - forall
- Numerical quantifiers with logical condition
 - add, mul, min and max
- Sequence quantifier

seq



Elements of the Specification Language

Mathematical theories

- Types
 - user defined data-types
 - abstract data types
- Functions and predicates (declared/defined)
- Axioms

Procedure specifications

- Pre-post conditions
- Exceptions
- Global variables

Loop specifications

- Invariants
- Termination terms

Assertions

To constrain the state of execution



Challenges of specification language for *MiniMaple*

Support of some non-standard types of objects

- e.g. symbols, unevaluated expressions etc.
- Additional functions and predicates
 - e.g. type test type(E,T)
- Specification of abstract mathematical concepts by abstract data types
 - Weaker support in current classical specification languages
 - e.g., ring, variables and ordering of a polynomial
 - ADDO as an abstract data type represented by list of tuples
 - Abstract Difference Differential Operator

An example utility procedure of DifferenceDifferential

```
(*@
    'type/ADDO';
   define(terms, terms(ad::ADDO)=...);
   define(getTerm, getTerm(ad::ADDO,i::nat, i::nat)=...);
   isADDO(d):
   isADDOTerm(c,n,z,e);
   assume(isADDO(d) equivalent forall(i::integer, 1<=i and i<=terms(d) implies
            isADDOTerm(getTerm(d,i,1), getTerm(d,i,2), getTerm(d,i,3), getTerm(d,i,4]));
   assume(isADDOTerm(c,n,z,e) equivalent inField(c) and isGenerator(e));
   define(power, power(a::integer,0)=1, power(a::integer,b::integer)= mul(a,1...b));
   define(maps, maps(d::DDO)=...);
   @*)
global noauto, generators, ...;
(*@
   requires 1 \le z and z \le power(2, length(noauto)) and
             forall(i::integer, 1 \le i and i \le terms(maps(a)) implies isGenerator(getTerm(maps(a),i,4)) and
             forall(i::integer, 1 \le i and i \le terms(maps(b)) implies isGenerator(getTerm(maps(b),i,4)));
   global EMPTY:
    ensures
       (forall(j::integer, 1 \le i and j \le nops(RESULT) implies isGenerator(RESULT[j][1],maps(a),maps(b)) and
                   RESULT[i][2] = isLT(maps(a),z) and RESULT[i][3] = isLT(maps(b),z)))
       or
       (nops(RESULT) = 0 and ...);
   @*)
```

 $\mathsf{VGB} := \textbf{proc} \; (\texttt{z::integer}, \texttt{a::DDO}, \texttt{b::DDO}) :: \texttt{list}([\texttt{symbol}, \texttt{list}(\texttt{symbol}), \texttt{list}(\texttt{symbol})]) \; ... \; \texttt{return} \; \mathsf{v}; \; \texttt{end} \; \texttt{proc}; \; \texttt{proc} \; \texttt{return} \; \mathsf{v}; \; \texttt{end} \; \texttt{proc}; \; \texttt{proc} \; \texttt{return} \; \mathsf{v}; \; \texttt{end} \; \texttt{proc}; \; \texttt{proc} \; \texttt{return} \; \mathsf{v}; \; \texttt{end} \; \texttt{proc}; \; \texttt{proc} \; \texttt{return} \; \mathsf{v}; \; \texttt{end} \; \texttt{proc}; \; \texttt{proc} \; \texttt{return} \; \mathsf{v}; \; \texttt{end} \; \texttt{proc}; \; \texttt{proc} \; \texttt{return} \; \mathsf{v}; \; \texttt{end} \; \texttt{proc}; \; \texttt{proc} \; \texttt{return} \; \mathsf{v}; \; \texttt{end} \; \texttt{proc}; \; \texttt{proc} \; \texttt{return} \; \mathsf{v}; \; \texttt{end} \; \texttt{proc}; \; \texttt{proc} \; \texttt{return} \; \mathsf{v}; \; \texttt{end} \; \texttt{proc}; \; \texttt{proc} \; \texttt{return} \; \mathsf{v}; \; \texttt{end} \; \texttt{proc}; \; \texttt{proc} \; \texttt{return} \; \mathsf{v}; \; \texttt{proc} \; \texttt{proc} \; \texttt{return} \; \mathsf{v}; \; \texttt{proc} \; \texttt{pr$

Computes generators w.r.t. the leading terms of given difference-differential operators



Formal semantics of MiniMaple and its specification language

- Defined as a state relationship between pre and post-states
- MiniMaple has expressions with side effects
 - ▶ Not supported in functional programming languages, e.g. Haskell
- Semantic domain of values have some non-standard types of objects
 - Symbol, Uneval and Union etc.
- MiniMaple supports additional functions and predicates
 - e.g. type test i.e. type(E,T)
- MiniMaple procedure is defined by an assignment command
 - Static scoping is used to evaluate a MiniMaple procedure
- Specification language supports abstract data types to formalize mathematical concepts

Verification conditions generated by a verification calculus for *MiniMaple* must be sound w.r.t. formal semantics



Verification calculus for MiniMaple

- Verification conditions generation by using existing framework Why3 by LRI, France (http://why3.lri.fr/)
 - Verification conditions generated must be sound w.r.t. formal semantics
- 2. Proving correctness of conditions by Why3 back-end provers
 - In particular method preconditions



- Some features of Why3 (influenced by ML)
 - Supports algebraic and abstract data types
 - Also supports pattern matching
 - Has WP-based semantics
 - Provides collaborative proofs by both automated and interactive provers



An example translation of annotated MiniMaple to Why3





Current status and activities

Achievements

- Defined MiniMaple and its specification language
 - Formal grammars
 - implemented parsers correspondingly
 - Type systems
 - implemented type checkers correspondingly
 - Formal semantics
 - as a state relationship between pre- and post-states
 - also as a pre-requisite of our verification calculus

Current activities

- Developing a verification calculus for MiniMaple
 - Translation of annotated MiniMaple to Why3